Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/662,164 CHENG ET AL.	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants: Status of Application: now allowed (1) Maikhanh Nguyen (USPTO). (2) Richard A. Hinson (Applicant's representative). (4)		10/662,164	CHENG ET AL.	
All Participants: (1) Maikhanh Nguyen (USPTO). (2) Richard A. Hinson (Applicant's representative). (4) Date of Interview: 11 December 2007 Time: Type of Interview: \[\text{Telephonic} \] \[\text{Video Conference} \] \[\text{Personal (Copy given to: } \] Applicant \] Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: \[\text{Yes} \] If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 1 and 22 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) Maikhanh Nguyen (USPTO). (2) Richard A. Hinson (Applicant's representative). (4)		Maikhanh Nguyen	2176	
(2) Richard A. Hinson (Applicant's representative). Date of Interview: 11 December 2007 Time:	All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>now allowed</u>		
Type of Interview: 11 December 2007 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Uideo Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 1 and 22 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.	(1) <u>Maikhanh Nguyen (USPTO)</u> .	(3)		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 1 and 22 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.	(2) Richard A. Hinson (Applicant's representative). (4)			
Telephonic	Date of Interview: 11 December 2007	Time:	;	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 1 and 22 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 1 and 22 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.	Rejection(s) discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.				
Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.	Part II.			
Part III.	Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
$oldsymbol{\cdot}$			•	
m . Where m	m . 16 - C /			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				